	Case 1:24-cv-00710-KES-EPG Docume	ent 10 Filed 08/22/24 Page 1 of 2
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
7	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
8		
9	BMO BANK N.A.,	Case No. 1:24-cv-00710-KES-EPG
10	Plaintiff,	
11	V.	ORDER SETTING DEADLINE RE:
12	H&R TRANSPORT, et al.,	DEFAULT JUDGMENT
13	Defendants.	(ECEN 0.0)
14		(ECF Nos. 8, 9)
15		
16		
17	Plaintiff filed this action on June 18, 2024, and has since sought and obtained a clerk's	
18	entry of default under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 55(a) against Defendants H&R Transport	
19	and Harmandeep Singh Gill. (ECF Nos. 8, 9). Given the Clerk's entry of default, the Court will	
20	set a deadline for Plaintiff to move for default judgment under Rule 55(b)(2). Additionally, the	
21	Court advises Plaintiff of the following basic requirements for a motion for default judgment. <sup>2</sup>	
22	The motion must establish proper service on Defendants and the Court's jurisdiction. In re	
23	Tuli, 172 F.3d 707, 712 (9th Cir. 1999) ("When entry of judgment is sought against a party who	
24	has failed to plead or otherwise defend, a district court has an affirmative duty to look into its	
25	jurisdiction over both the subject matter and the parties."); see S.E.C. v. Internet Sols. for Bus.	
26	Inc., 509 F.3d 1161, 1165 (9th Cir. 2007) ("We review de novo whether default judgment is void	
27	Alternatively, if Plaintiff believes a default judgment by the Clerk is appropriate under Rule 55(b)(1),	
28	Plaintiff may file such a request. <sup>2</sup> This order does not purport to advise Plaintiff o	f all applicable requirements. 1
		•

## Case 1:24-cv-00710-KES-EPG Document 10 Filed 08/22/24 Page 2 of 2 because of lack of personal jurisdiction due to insufficient service of process."). The motion must

address the relevant factors regarding default judgment. *See Eitel v. McCool*, 782 F.2d 1470, 1471-72 (9th Cir. 1986) (noting seven factors that courts may consider before exercising discretion to enter default judgment). And the motion must support any request for attorney fees, costs, and prejudgment interest. *See In re Ferrell*, 539 F.3d 1186, 1192 (9th Cir. 2008) (noting party seeking attorney fees and costs must specify basis for such award); *Schneider v. Cnty. of San Diego*, 285 F.3d 784, 789 (9th Cir. 2002) ("Whether prejudgment interest is permitted in a particular case is a matter of statutory interpretation, federal common law, and, in some instances, state law."); Fed. R. Civ. P. 54(c) ("A default judgment must not differ in kind from, or exceed in amount, what is demanded in the pleadings.").

Accordingly, IT IS ORDERED as follows:

- 1. Plaintiff has until September 23, 2024, to move for default judgment against Defendants.
- 2. Plaintiff's motion for default judgment shall provide developed argument, including citation to the record and relevant legal authority, in addressing the requirements discussed above and any other applicable requirements. *See* Fed. R. Civ. P. 7(b)(1)(B) (noting that motions must "state with particularity the grounds for seeking the order).
- 3. The initial scheduling conference set for September 19, 2024, at 10:00 a.m. is VACATED, along with related deadlines. (ECF No. 3).

19 IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: August 22, 2024

| S | Euc. P. Story
| UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE HIDGE